CLINICAL RESEAPCH

# Etiological Characteristics and Related Factors of Intracranial Infection after Craniocerebral Trauma Surgery

Feng Niu<sup>1\*</sup> & Zhao Li<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopaedics, Ningbo Chinese Hospital <sup>2</sup>Shengzhou Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine

#### Keywords

Craniocerebral trauma, Intracranial infection, Etiological characteristic, Risk factors

#### Correspondence

Feng Niu, Epartment of Orthopedics, Ningbo Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 819 Liyuan North Road, Ningbo City, Zhejiang Province, China. Tel:13777127708; E-mail:8991098@qq.com

Received: 11 November 2020; Accepted: 11 December 2020; Published online: 15 January 2021 *Diagnostic Brain Medicine 2021;* 2(1):113–119

#### Abstract

Objective To explore etiological characteristics and related factors contributing to intracranial infection after craniocerebral trauma surgery. Methods According to whether postoperative intracranial infection occurred, 293 cases of patients with craniocerebral trauma admitted to our hospital from March 2016 to October 2019 were divided into observation group (n = 78) and control group (n = 215). The pathologic features and related risk factors of intracranial infection after traumatic brain injury were observed and analyzed from secretion culture of all patients. Results There were 97 strains of pathogens in the blood culture of the observation group, with Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli accounting for 24.74% and 18.56%, respectively (P<0.05). The postoperative prevention of antibiotic use, postoperative albumin level and postoperative use of hormones were all independent risk factors for intracranial infection after craniocerebral trauma (P<0.05). Conclusion Traumatic brain injury in patients with intracranial infection was influenced by a variety of factors. Medical workers should minimize the operation time to prevent cerebrospinal fluid incision leakage, postoperative catheter drainage, postoperative preventive use of antibiotics. Moreover, improving postoperative albumin and reducing the use of hormones after surgery, carefully paying more attention to patients more attention are all important in lowering the possibility of developing intracranial infection.

# Introduction

The currrent research at our hospital was carried out after obtaining the approval of the medical ethics committee of the hospital. The 293 patients with craniocerebral trauma admitted to the hospital from March 2016 to October 2019 were taken as the research objects. According to whether they showed postoperative intracranial infection, they were divided into an observation group (78 cases) and a control group (215 cases). Clinical data of patients were collected with the consent from patients and their family. The general clinical data of the two groups of patients were compared, see Table 1 for details. There were no significant differences in age composition, disease course, ratios of males to females, or body mass index (P>0.05).

| Table 1: Comparison of general clinical data between the two groups |                     |               |                   |         |  |  |
|---|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------|--|--|
|   | Observation         | Control group | Control group     |         |  |  |
|   | group ( n=78 )      | ( n=215 )     | Statistical value | P Value |  |  |
| Age ( $x \pm s$ , years old)  | 45.38±5.61          | 45.81±5.27    | 0.6067            | 0.5445  |  |  |
| Sex ratio [n(%)]  |                     |               | 0.2724            | 0.6017  |  |  |
| Male  | 46 ( 58.97 )        | 124 ( 57.67 ) |                   |         |  |  |
| Female  | 37 ( 47.44 )        | 114 ( 53.02 ) |                   |         |  |  |
| Body mass ( $x \pm s$ , $kg/m^2$ )                                  | 23.21 <b>±</b> 2.17 | 23.40±2.03    | 0.6951            | 0.4876  |  |  |
| Course of disease( x±s, days)                                       | 8.26±5.09           | 8.94±5.29     | 0.9822            | 0.3268  |  |  |

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: ①A clear history of craniocerebral trauma; 2)After surgery, patients showed headache, high fever, stiff neck, or projectile vomiting, etc.; (3) The blood test showed white blood cells>10\*109/L; (4)Cerebrospinal fluid showed white blood cells>0.01\* 109/L, of which glucose quantitative examination <400mg/L, multinucleated cells>50%, protein quantitative>450mg/L; ⑤The presence of abscess was confirmed by brain imaging examination. The control group had to meet the ① of the inclusion criteria, while the observation group needs to meet the above 6 items.

Exclusion criteria: ①The presence of intracranial arteriovenous malformations, nervous system tumors, hypertensive encephalopathy, or intracranial aneurysms, etc.; ②Serious infections before surgery; ③Patients after ventricle-abdominal cavity separation; ④Patients without mental illness.

# **Research design**

Local disinfection was performed on all patients with secretions to collect 3-5ml of secretions, which was placed in a sterile container for immediate inspection. Two consecutive cultures of pathogenic bacteria were considered positive. Clinical data of patients, such as age, gender, operation time, catheter drainage, cerebrospinal fluid incision leakage, postoperative preventive use of antibiotics, postoperative albumin level and postoperative hormone use, etc., were collected, quantified and assigned values, for the comparison and analysis of the risk factors to the occurrence of intracranial infection to patients undergoing craniocerebral trauma surgery.

#### Statistical analysis

The data of this study were statistically analyzed using SPSS20.5 software. In univariate analysis, count data and grade data were respectively subjected to

chi-square test and rank-sum test. The t test was used for measurement data. P<0.05 defined a statistical difference. Multivariate analysis was performed adopting logistic regression analysis.

# Results

# Pathogenic bacteria identified from the

observation group and their composition

In the observation group, 97 strains of pathogenic bacteria were identified in the co-cultured secretion of the patients, of which Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli accounted for 24.74% and 18.56%, respectively. See Table 2 for details.

| Pathogenic bacteria        | Numbers of strain | Composition ratio |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Streptococcus pneumoniae   | 6                 | 6.19              |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis | 7                 | 7.22              |
| Perfringens                | 3                 | 3.09              |
| Staphylococcus aureus      | 13                | 13.40             |
| Haemophilus influenzae     | 8                 | 8.25              |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae      | 6                 | 6.19              |
| Escherichia Coli           | 18                | 18.56             |
| Enterobacter cloacae       | 5                 | 5.15              |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa     | 24                | 24.74             |
| Acinetobacter baumannii    | 7                 | 7.21              |

# Analysis of resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli to common drugs

Pseudomonas aeruginosa showed high resistant to amoxicillin, cefotaxime, and ceftriaxone, while it was noticeably sensitive to cefoperazone, sulbactam, levofloxacin, and meropenem. Escherichia coli showed high resistant to amoxicillin Cilin, cefotaxime, and cefazolin and a strong sensitivity to compound trimethoprim, cefoperazone and sulbactam, levofloxacin and meropenem.

Table 3: Analysis of resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli to common drugs

|                          | Pseudomonas aeruginosa | Escherichia coli   |                  |                    |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|
| Antibacterial drugs      | Number of strain       | Resistance<br>rate | Number of strain | Resistance<br>rate |  |
| Compound<br>trimethoprim | 14                     | 58.33              | 1                | 5.56               |  |
| Ciprofloxacin            | 5                      | 20.83              | 5                | 27.78              |  |
| Ampicillin               | 15                     | 62.50              | 10               | 55.56              |  |
| Amoxicillin              | 20                     | 83.33              | 16               | 88.89              |  |
| Ceftriaxone              | 19                     | 79.17              | 12               | 66.67              |  |
| Gentamicin               | 10                     | 41.67              | 10               | 55.56              |  |
| Cefoxitin                | 6                      | 25.00              | 5                | 27.78              |  |
| Levofloxacin             | 1                      | 4.17               | 1                | 5.56               |  |
| Meropenem                | 0                      | 0.00               | 0                | 0.00               |  |
| Cefoperazone/Sulbactm    | 1                      | 4.17               | 0                | 0.00               |  |
| Cefepime                 | 5                      | 20.83              | 6                | 33.33              |  |
| Cefotaxime               | 21                     | 87.50              | 14               | 77.78              |  |

| Cefazolin | 17 | 70.83 | 13 | 72.22 |
|-----------|----|-------|----|-------|
|           |    |       |    |       |

**Comparative analysis of related factors of intracranial infection in the two groups of patients** Comparison results of the related factors of intracranial infection in the two groups of patients were shown in Table 4. It was found that operation time, catheter drainage, cerebrospinal fluid incision leakage, postoperative preventive use of antibiotics, postoperative albumin level and postoperative use of hormones were all related factors contributing to craniocerebral infection after craniocerebral trauma

surgery (P < 0.05).

| Table 4: Comparative analysis of related factors of intracranial infection in the tw | wo groups of patients |
|--|-----------------------|
|  | o Broups of putternes |

|                          | 2                 |                   |               | 6 1               | 1               |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|                          |                   | Observation group | Control group |                   |                 |
| Related factors          |                   | ( n=78 )          | ( n=215 )     | Statistical value | P value         |
|                          | <b>&lt;</b> 45    | 31 ( 39.74 )      | 87 ( 41.86 )  | 0.0206            | 0.8423          |
| Age (years old)          | ≥45               | 47 ( 60.26 )      | 125 ( 57.14 ) | 0.0396            | 0.6425          |
|                          | <b>&lt;</b> 3h    | 24 ( 30.77 )      | 108 ( 50.23 ) |                   |                 |
| Operation time           | ≥3h               | 54 ( 69.23 )      | 107 ( 49.77 ) | 8.7586            | 0.0031          |
| Culture                  | Yes               | 28 ( 35.90 )      | 150 ( 69.77 ) | 27.52(9)          | - 0.0001        |
| Catheterization          | No                | 50 ( 64.10 )      | 65 ( 30.23 )  | 27.5368           | < 0.0001        |
|                          | Yes               | 12 ( 15.38 )      | 27 ( 12.56 )  | 1.0750            | 0.2007          |
| Consciousness disorder   | No                | 66 ( 84.62 )      | 188 ( 87.44 ) | 1.0758            | 0.2996          |
| H. and and a             | Yes               | 21 ( 26.92 )      | 40 ( 18.60 )  | 2,4025            | 0 1211          |
| Hypotension              | No                | 57 ( 73.08 )      | 175 ( 81.40 ) | 2.4025            | 0.1211          |
| cerebrospinal fluid      | Yes               | 10 ( 12.82 )      | 8 ( 3.72 )    | 0.0104            | 0.0041          |
| incision leakage         | No                | 68 ( 87.18 )      | 207 ( 96.28 ) | 8.2194            | 0.0041          |
| Postoperative preventive | Yes               | 19 ( 24.36 )      | 172 ( 80.00 ) | 78 0822           | <b>~</b> 0.0001 |
| use of antibiotics       | No                | 59 ( 75.64 )      | 43 ( 20.00 )  | 78.0832           | < 0.0001        |
| Postoperative albumin    | <b>&lt;</b> 35g/L | 41 ( 52.56 )      | 48 ( 22.33 )  | 24.7458           | < 0.0001        |

|                               | ≥35g/L | 37 ( 47.44 ) | 167 ( 77.67 ) |        |        |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| Postoperative use of hormones | Yes    | 42 ( 53.85 ) | 86 ( 40.00 )  | 4.4603 | 0.0347 |
|                               | No     | 36 ( 46.15 ) | 129 ( 60.00 ) | 005    | 0.03+7 |

Analysis of independent risk factors for traumatic brain injury patients with postoperative craniocerebral infection traumatic brain injury Through logistic analysis, we found that operation time, catheter drainage, cerebrospinal fluid incision leakage, postoperative preventive use of antibiotics, postoperative albumin level and postoperative hormone use were all independent risk factors for leading tocraniocerebral infection after craniocerebral trauma surgery. (P<0.05). See Table 5.

Table 5: Analysis of independent risk factors for traumatic brain injury patients with postoperative craniocerebral

| infection traumatic brain injury |                         |       |         |          |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|---------|----------|--|--|
| Related factors                  | Regression coefficients | wald  | P value | OR value |  |  |
| operation time                   | 1.37                    | 11.37 | 0.00    | 3.68     |  |  |
| Intubation and drainage          | 2.67                    | 10.76 | 0.00    | 9.64     |  |  |
| cerebrospinal fluid incision     |                         |       |         |          |  |  |
| leakage                          | 0.94                    | 7.28  | 0.01    | 3.68     |  |  |
| Preventive use of antibiotics    |                         |       |         |          |  |  |
| after surgery                    | 1.14                    | 5.36  | 0.01    | 3.27     |  |  |
| Postoperative albumin            | 1.64                    | 7.67  | 0.02    | 4.73     |  |  |
| Use of hormones after<br>surgery | 1.22                    | 1.69  | 0.00    | 3.55     |  |  |

## Discussion

Surgical operation is a main treatment for managing craniocerebral injury. However, the attenuation of surgical treatment to the injury condition is also accompanied with various complications, commonly such as hydrocephalus, cerebral edema, encephalocele, intracranial hypertension, and intracranial infection or the interaction of some of these complications, greatly affecting the prognosis of the patients [6~9]. Intracranial infection is a more significant complication. According to statistics, the incidence of intracranial infection is as high as 14%, commonly occurring at 4 to 10 days after surgery, and its mortality rate reached 25-42%. The possible reasons are summarized as follows: ①Severe condition of

patient's after traumatic brain injury, especially for those with a low GCS score. In this circumstance, the normal physiological function and immunity of patients will decline significantly, and they are often accompanied by increased infection, coma and vomiting at varying degrees; ② After craniocerebral damage, the intracranial hypertension may cause dehiscence of local wounds, which is prone to develop infection; ③ Liquefied necrotic edema brain tissues provide pathogens invading the brain. At the same time, surgical treatment will destroy the blood-brain barrier in the skull, allowing the pathogenic bacteria to enter the skull through various open channels and cause infection [10-13]. Therefore, clarifying the etiological characteristics and risk factors of intracranial infection after craniocerebral trauma is highly significant for an effective treatment.

In this study, 293 patients with craniocerebral trauma admitted to our hospital from March 2013 to October 2016 were included. First, blood of the patients with craniocerebral trauma were collected and cultured to identify pathogens. We detected 97 strains of pathogenic bacteria from the secretions of patients with intracranial infection. among which Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli accounted for 24.74% and 18.56%, respectively. This study observed that operation time, catheter drainage, cerebrospinal fluid incision leakage, postoperative preventive use of antibiotics, postoperative albumin level, and postoperative hormone use were all independent factors causing craniocerebral infections after craniocerebral trauma surgery. To be more specific, as the operation time increases, the damaged area of the brain is exposed to bacteria for a longer time, thus, the chance of infection will be increased significantly. Previously, studies showed [14~15] that the chance of developing postoperative body infection exceeds 47% when the operation time of more than 4 hours. It is believed that the operation time is positively correlated with the incidence of intracranial infection. For catheter drainage, after cranial trauma surgery, commonly, a drainage tube is required to be placed in the subdural and epidural residual cavity to fully drain the residual blood. Reports found [16-18] that the cause of infection is mainly related to factors such as insufficient drainage and bacterial contamination of the drainage port. Moreover, for cerebrospinal fluid incision leakage, when cerebrospinal fluid leakage occurs, accumulation of fluid under the scalp is easily to breed a large number of bacteria, eventually leading to the occurrence of intracranial infection. Postoperative preventive use of antibiotics is another risk factor. As the body resistance of patients with traumatic brain injury is significantly reduced, bacteria can easily enter the skull through various open channels and induce infection. Therefore. based on the characteristics of common pathogens of intracranial infection, prophylactic antibiotic treatment can

significantly reduce the infection rate [19]. Protein is the main component of human antibodies, and antibodies participate in the body's humoral immunity, killing bacteria and viruses invading the body. Low level of protein is accompanied with reduced antibodies to resist the invasion of bacteria and viruses. Therefore, after craniocerebral trauma surgery, the serum albumin level should be closely monitored to determine the immune resistance of the body [20]. Inflammation is a natural process of anti-infection, and glucocorticoids have the immunosuppressive effect that limits the inflammatory response, leading to a decline in the body's immunity and spreading the infection.

In summary, this study analyzed the related factors of intracranial infection after craniocerebral trauma surgery, and found the operation time, catheter drainage, cerebrospinal fluid incision leakage, postoperative preventive use of antibiotics, postoperative albumin level and postoperative factors such as the use of hormones are all independent risk factors that contribute to intracranial infection after craniocerebral trauma surgery. Therefore, attention should be paid to patients who show the above risk factors. According to the pathogenic characteristics discovered in this study, providing appropriate and targeted antimicrobial treatment can effectively control the infection and facilitate a better recovery.

## **Declaration of conflict-of-interest**

The authors declare no conflict-of-interest.

#### References

[1] Xin-ze He,Jian-jun Ma,Hao-qi Wang,et al.Brain injury in combination with tacrolimus promotes the regeneration of injured peripheral nerves[J].Neural Regen Res,2017,12(06):987-994.

[2] Jun-Jie Zhao,Zun-Wei Liu,Bo Wang,et al.Inhibiting endogenous tissue plasminogen activator enhanced neuronal apoptosis and axonal injury after traumatic brain injury[J].Neural Regen Res,2020,15(04):667-675.

[3] Wu-Sheng Deng,Ke Ma,Bing Liang,et al.Collagen scaffold combined with human umbilical

cord-mesenchymal stem cells transplantation for acute complete spinal cord injury[J].Neural Regen Res,2020,15(09):1686-1700.

 [4] Buqing Liang, Jason H Huang. Elevated NKCC1 transporter expression facilitates early post-traumatic brain injury seizures[J]. Neural Regen Res, 2017, 12(03):401-402.

[5] Xi-Hua Liu,Hong-Yan Bi,Jie Cao,et al.Early constraint-induced movement therapy affects behavior and neuronal plasticity in ischemia-injured rat brains[J].Neural Regen Res,2019,14(05):775-782.

[6] Mary Wurzelmann,Jennifer Romeika,Dong Sun.Therapeutic potential of brain-derived neurotrophic factor(BDNF) and a small molecular mimics of BDNF for traumatic brain injury[J].Neural Regen Res,2017,12(01):7-12.

[7] Ye Xiong,Asim Mahmood,Michael Chopp.Emerging potential of exosomes for treatment of traumatic brain injury[J].Neural Regen Res,2017,12(01):19-22.

[8] Hui-Min Hu,Bin Li,Xiao-Dong Wang,et al.Fluoxetine is Neuroprotective in Early Brain Injury via its Antiinflammatory and Anti-apoptotic Effects in a Rat Experimental Subarachnoid Hemorrhage Model[J].Neurosci Bull,2018,34(06):951-962.

[9] Kai Zhao,Rui Li,Sheng Bi,et al.Combination of mild therapeutic hypothermia and adipose-derived stem cells for ischemic brain injury[J].Neural Regen Res,2018,13(10):1759-1770.

[10] Saifudeen Ismael,Heba A.Ahmed,Tusita Adris,et al.The NLRP3 inflammasome: a potential therapeutic target for traumatic brain injury[J].Neural Regen Res,2021,16(01):49-57.

[11] Xin Chen, Yan Chai, Shao-Bo Wang, et al. Risk factors for corticosteroid insufficiency during the sub-acute phase of acute traumatic brain injury[J]. Neural Regen Res, 2020, 15(07): 1259-1265.

[12] Deb Sanjay Nag,Seelora Sahu,Amlan Swain,et al.Intracranial pressure monitoring:Gold standard and recent innovations[J].World J Clin Cases,2019,7(13):1535-1553.

[13] Aparna Patra,Hong Huang,John A Bauer,et al.Giannone. Neurological consequences of systemic inflammation in the premature neonate[J].Neural Regen Res, 2017, 12(06): 890-896.

[14] Jun-Jie Liu, Teng-Hui Ma, Qi-Yuan Qin, et al. Stent placement followed by preoperative chemotherapy and elective surgery for acute malignant colorectal obstruction: Six cases of report[J]. World J Gastrointest Oncol, 2019, 11(03): 264-269.

[15] Bang Wool Eom,Young-Il Kim,Hong Man Yoon,et al.Current status and challenges in sentinel node navigation surgery for early gastric cancer[J].Chin J Cancer Res,2017,29(02):93-99.

[16] Keiichi Okano,Yasuyuki Suzuki.Influence ofbile contamination for patients who undergopancreaticoduodenectomyafterbiliarydrainage[J].WorldJ

Gastroenterol, 2019, 25(47): 6847-6856.

[17] Guo-Qiang Zhang,Yong Li,Yu-Ping Ren,et al.outcomes of preoperative endoscopic nasobiliary drainage and endoscopic retrograde biliary drainage for malignant distal biliary obstruction prior to pancreaticoduodenectomy[J].World J Gastroenterol,2017,23(29):5386-5394.

[18] Jiong-Di Lu,Feng Cao,Yi-Xuan Ding,et al.Timing, distribution, and microbiology of infectious complications after necrotizing pancreatitis[J].World J Gastroenterol,2019,25(34):5162-5173.

[19] Elysia A Masters,Ryan P Trombetta,Karen L de Mesy Bentley,et al. Evolving concepts in bone infection: redefining "biofilm","acute vs. chronic osteomyelitis", "the immune proteome" and "local antibiotic therapy"[J].Bone Res,2019,7(03):225-242.

[20] Shuai-Shuai Xu,Shuo Li,Hua-Xiang Xu,et al.Haemoglobin,albumin,lymphocyte and platelet predicts postoperative survival in pancreatic cancer[J].World J Gastroenterol,2020,26(08):828-838.